Page

WORLD TRADE

ORGANIZATION

WT/GC/28 12 November 1999

(99-4902)

General Council

GENERAL COUNCIL

Annual Report (1999)

The present report has been prepared in pursuance of the Procedures for an Annual Overview of WTO Activities and for Reporting under the WTO (WT/L/105), and sets out the actions taken by the General Council in the period since its previous annual report.¹

In carrying out its tasks, the General Council has held 18² meetings since the period covered by the previous report. The minutes of these meetings, which remain the record of the General Council's work, are contained in documents WT/GC/M/33, 35, 36 and Add.1-4, 37, 38, 40 and Add.14, 41, 45, 48 and 50.

The following subjects are included in the report:

Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions 1. (a) **Committee on Budget, Finance and Administration** 2. (a) Reports ________3 Agreement on Preshipment Inspection – Review under Article 6 of the 3. Agreement (a) Waivers under Article IX of the WTO Agreement 4. (a) Harmonized System 4 (i) (b) (i) Decision on the introduction of Harmonized System changes into WTO schedules of (c) (d) Preferential tariff treatment for least-developed countries – decision on waiver 6 Peru – Agreement on Implementation of Article VII of the GATT 1994 – Request for (e) waiver 6

¹The annual report of the General Council for 1998 was circulated as WT/GC/15 and Corr.1.

²This number does not include the meetings of the General Council devoted to preparations for the 1999 Ministerial Conference, which are covered in an Addendum to the present report.

(f)	Review of waivers pursuant to Article IX:4 of the WTO Agreement
(i)	Canada – CARIBCAN, granted on 14 October 1996 until 31 December 2006
(ii)	Cuba – Article XV:6, granted on 14 October 1996 until 31 December 2001 6
(iii)	EC – The Fourth ACP-EC Convention of Lomé, granted on 14 October 1996 until
	29 February 2000
(iv)	EC/France – Trading arrangements with Morocco, granted on 9-11 December 1998 until 31 December 2001
(v)	Hungary – Agricultural export subsidies, granted on 22 October 1997 until
(<i>V</i>)	31 December 2001 <u>6</u>
(vi)	United States – Andean Trade Preference Act, granted on 14 October 1996 until
()	4 December 2001 <u>6</u>
(vii)	United States – Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act, granted on 15 November
()	1995 until 31 December 2005
(viii)	United States – Former Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, granted on 14 October
()	1996 until 31 December 2006
5.	Accession
	7
(a)	Bosnia and Herzegovina
(b)	Bhutan
(c)	Estonia
(d)	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
(e)	Georgia
	Lebanon
(f)	—
(g)	Nepal 9
(h)	Accession to the WTO: Process and procedures9
6.	Trade facilitation – status report on work carried out by the Council for Trade
	in Goods under Paragraph 21 of the Singapore Ministerial Declaration
7	2
7.	9 Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding
	2 Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10
7. 8.	Preview of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the
	2 Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization
8.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10
	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement
8.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an
8.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant
8.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement
8.9.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10
8.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European
8.9.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports
8.9.10.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11
8.9.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994
8.9.10.11.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11
8.9.10.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11 United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia
8.9.10.11.12.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11 United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia 12
8.9.10.11.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11 United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia 12 Change in United States Trade Relations with Mongolia
8.9.10.11.12.13.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11 United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia 12 Change in United States Trade Relations with Mongolia 12
8.9.10.11.12.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11 United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia 12 Change in United States Trade Relations with Mongolia 12 Global electronic commerce
 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11 United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia 12 Change in United States Trade Relations with Mongolia 12 Global electronic commerce 12
8.9.10.11.12.13.	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11 United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia 12 Change in United States Trade Relations with Mongolia 12 Global electronic commerce
 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11 United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia 12 Change in United States Trade Relations with Mongolia 12 Global electronic commerce 12 Guidelines for the scheduling of meetings 13
 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 	Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding 10 Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization 10 Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement 10 Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports 11 Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 11 United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia 12 Change in United States Trade Relations with Mongolia 12 Global electronic commerce 12 Guidelines for the scheduling of meetings

17.	Developing countries and the WTO dispute settlement mechanism	
	<u>14</u>	
18.	Promotion of the institutional image of the WTO 14	
19.	International Trade Centre UNCTAD/WTO 15	
(a)	Reports of the Joint Advisory Group	<u>15</u>
20.	Arrangements for effective cooperation with other intergovernmental organizations 15	
(a)	Agreements between the WTO and the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank	15
21.	Submission of end-of-year reports by WTO bodies 16	10
22.	Establishment of the Global Trust Fund for WTO Technical Cooperation	
	<u>16</u>	
23.	Administrative matters	
(a)	16 Appointment of the next Director-General	16
(a) (b)	Offices of Deputy Directors-General	
(c)	Management Board of the WTO Pension Plan	
(i)	Election of the Chairman, members and alternates	
24.	Observer status	
	<u>21</u>	
(a)	Governments	<u>21</u>
<i>(i)</i>	Yemen	<u>21</u>
(b) 25.	International intergovernmental organizations 1999 Ministerial Conference 22	21
(a)	Organization of work for the Conference	22
(a) (b)	Participation in the Conference	
(i)	Participation of acceding countries as observers in the preparatory work for the 1999	
(*)	Ministerial Conference	22
(ii)	Attendance of governments as observers at the 1999 Ministerial Conference	
(iii)	Attendance of international intergovernmental organizations as observers at the	
	1999 Ministerial Conference	
(iv)	Attendance of non-governmental organizations at the 1999 Ministerial Conference	<u>24</u>
26.	Chairmanship of the Dispute Settlement Body	
27	24 Appointment of officers to WTO bodies	
27.	24	
28.	Election of Chairperson	
20.	2 <u>5</u>	
29.	Parting statement by the Director-General	
30.	Review of WTO activities	
	<u>25</u>	

1. Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions

(a) Consultations (WT/GC/M/40/Add.3, WT/GC/M/45, WT/GC/M/50)

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the Chairman of the Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions introduced the Committee's report on its consultations with Romania (WT/BOP/R/45).

The representative of Romania spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adopted the report in WT/BOP/R/45.

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the Chairman of the Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions introduced the Committee's report on its consultations with Bangladesh (WT/BOP/R/46).

The General Council took note of the statement and of the communication in WT/BOP/R/46/Add.1, and adopted the report in WT/BOP/R/46.

At the General Council meeting on <u>3 and 4 November 1999</u>, Mr. Jenkins (United Kingdom), on behalf of the Chairman of the Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions, introduced the Committee's report on its consultations with the Slovak Republic.

The General Council took note of the statement and adopted the report in WT/BOP/R/48.

2. Committee on Budget, Finance and Administration

(a) Reports (WT/GC/M/45, 50)

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the Chairman of the Committee on Budget, Finance and Administration introduced the Committee's reports in WT/BFA/39 and 40.

The representatives of Jamaica and Haiti spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements, approved the Committee's specific recommendations in paragraphs 9 and 10 of its report in WT/BFA/39 and in paragraph 15 of its report in WT/BFA/40, and adopted the reports.

At the General Council meeting on <u>3 and 4 November 1999</u>, the Chairperson of the Committee on Budget, Finance and Administration announced that the Committee had not yet reached a final decision on the WTO's budget for 2000.

The General Council took note of the statement, and agreed to adjourn after consideration of the remaining items on its agenda and to reconvene³ as soon as possible to consider this item.

³The General Council's subsequent consideration of this item will be carried in an addendum to the present report.

3. Agreement on Preshipment Inspection – Review under Article 6 of the Agreement

(a) Report of the Working Party (WT/GC/M/40/Add.3)

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the Chairman of the Working Party on Preshipment Inspection introduced the Working Party's report (G/L/300).

The General Council took note of the statement and adopted the report in G/L/300.

4. Waivers under Article IX of the WTO Agreement

- (a) Harmonized System
- (i) Bangladesh, Nicaragua, Sri Lanka (WT/GC/M/40/Add.3, WT/GC/M/50)

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the General Council considered requests by Bangladesh (G/L/298), Nicaragua (G/L/297) and Sri Lanka (G/L/296) for extensions of waivers previously granted in connection with their implementation of the Harmonized System, and draft decisions to this effect (Bangladesh - G/C/W/142; Nicaragua - G/C/W/141/Rev.1; Sri Lanka - G/C/W/140).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the consideration of these requests by that Council.

The General Council took note of the report and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement (WT/L/93), adopted the decisions (Bangladesh - WT/L/299; Nicaragua - WT/L/300; Sri Lanka - WT/L/301) with retroactive effect to 30 April 1999.

At its meeting on <u>3 and 4 November 1999</u>, the General Council considered requests by Bangladesh (G/L/324), Nicaragua (G/L/320) and Sri Lanka (G/L/321) for extensions of waivers previously granted in connection with their implementation of the Harmonized System, and draft decisions to this effect (Bangladesh - G/C/W/162; Nicaragua - G/C/W/160; Sri Lanka - G/C/W/161).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the consideration of these requests by that Council.

The General Council took note of the report and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement (WT/L/93), adopted the decisions (Bangladesh - WT/L/336; Nicaragua - WT/L/334; Sri Lanka - WT/L/335).

- (b) Renegotiation of Schedule
- (i) Zambia (WT/GC/M/40/Add.3, WT/GC/M/50)

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the General Council considered a request by Zambia (G/L/295) for an extension of a waiver previously granted in connection with the renegotiation of its schedule, and a draft decision to this effect (G/C/W/139).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the consideration of this request by the Council.

The General Council took note of the report and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement (WT/L/93), adopted the decision (WT/L/302) with retroactive effect to 30 April 1999.

At its meeting on 3 and 4 November 1999, the General Council considered a request by Zambia (G/L/329) for an extension of a waiver previously granted in connection with the renegotiation of its schedule, and a draft decision to this effect (G/C/W/163).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the consideration of this request by that Council.

The General Council took note of the report and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement (WT/L/93), adopted the decision (WT/L/337).

(c) Decision on the introduction of Harmonized System changes into WTO schedules of tariff concessions on 1 January 1996 (WT/GC/M/40/Add.3, WT/GC/M/50)

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the General Council considered a draft decision extending the time-limit of the Decision on the introduction of Harmonized System changes into WTO schedules of tariff concessions on 1 January 1996 (G/C/W/145).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the consideration of this matter by the Council.

The representative of Japan spoke.

The General Council took note of the statement and of the report and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement (WT/L/93), adopted the decision (WT/L/303) with retroactive effect to 30 April 1999.

At its meeting on <u>3 and 4 November 1999</u>, the General Council considered a draft decision extending the time-limit of the Decision on the introduction of Harmonized System changes into WTO schedules of tariff concessions on 1 January 1996 (G/C/W/165).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the consideration of this matter by that Council.

The General Council took note of the report and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement (WT/L/93), adopted the decision (WT/L/338).

(d) Preferential tariff treatment for least-developed countries – decision on waiver (WT/GC/M/40/Add.3)

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the General Council considered a draft decision on preferential tariff treatment for least-developed countries (G/C/W/135/Rev.1).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the consideration of this matter by the Council.

The General Council took note of the report and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement (WT/L/93), adopted the decision (WT/L/304).

(e) Peru – Agreement on Implementation of Article VII of the GATT 1994 – Request for waiver (WT/GC/M/45)

At its meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the General Council considered a request by Peru (G/L/311) for a waiver regarding the Agreement on Implementation of Article VII of the GATT 1994, and a draft decision to this effect (G/C/W/155).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the consideration of this matter by the Council.

The General Council took note of the report and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement (WT/L/93), adopted the decision (WT/L/307).

- (f) Review of waivers pursuant to Article IX:4 of the WTO Agreement (WT/GC/M/50)
- (i) Canada CARIBCAN, granted on 14 October 1996 until 31 December 2006 (WT/L/185, WT/L/323)
- (ii) Cuba Article XV:6, granted on 14 October 1996 until 31 December 2001 (WT/L/182, WT/L/320)
- (iii) EC The Fourth ACP-EC Convention of Lomé, granted on 14 October 1996 until 29 February 2000 (WT/L/186, WT/L/325 and Add.1 and Add.1/Corr.1)
- (iv) EC/France Trading arrangements with Morocco, granted on 9-11 December 1998 until 31 December 2001 (WT/L/294)
- (v) Hungary Agricultural export subsidies, granted on 22 October 1997 until 31 December 2001 (WT/L/238, WT/L/322)
- (vi) United States Andean Trade Preference Act, granted on 14 October 1996 until 4 December 2001 (WT/L/184, WT/L/328)
- (vii) United States Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act, granted on 15 November 1995 until 31 December 2005 (WT/L/104, WT/L/329)
- (viii) United States Former Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, granted on 14 October 1996 until 31 December 2006 (WT/L/183, WT/L/327)

At its meeting on 3 and 4 November 1999, the General Council reviewed the above eight waivers.

The representatives of the European Communities and the United States spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and of the reports in documents WT/L/320, 322, 323, 325 and Add.1 and Add.1/Corr.1, 327, 328 and 329.

5. Accession

(a) Bosnia and Herzegovina (WT/GC/M/45, 48)

At its meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the General Council considered a communication from Bosnia and Herzegovina (WT/ACC/BIH/1) concerning its interest in acceding to the WTO Agreement pursuant to Article XII.

The representatives of Bosnia and Herzegovina (as an observer), Myanmar (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Turkey, Pakistan, European Communities, Hungary (on behalf of the CEFTA⁴ Members and Latvia), Japan, Brazil, Slovenia and Jamaica spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to establish a working party to examine the application of Bosnia and Herzegovina, and authorized its Chairman to designate the Chairperson of the Working Party in consultation with representatives of Members and with the representative of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

The Chairman invited the representative of Bosnia and Herzegovina, on behalf of the General Council, to attend meetings of the General Council and, as appropriate, meetings of other WTO bodies as an observer during the period in which the Working Party was carrying out its work.

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Peter Jenkins (United Kingdom) had agreed to chair the Working Party.

(b) Bhutan (WT/GC/M/48)

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the General Council considered a communication from Bhutan (WT/ACC/BTN/1/Rev.1) concerning its interest in acceding to the WTO Agreement pursuant to Article XII.

The representatives of India, Japan, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, European Communities, Bolivia (on behalf of GRULAC), Pakistan, Norway, Kuwait, Australia, Colombia, United States, Czech Republic, Slovak Republic, Uganda, Switzerland, Bulgaria, Slovenia, Canada, New Zealand, Cyprus, and Bhutan (as an observer) and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to establish a working party to examine the application of Bhutan, and authorized its Chairman to designate the Chairperson of the Working Party in consultation with representatives of Members and with the representative of Bhutan.

The Chairman invited the representative of Bhutan, on behalf of the General Council, to attend meetings of the General Council and, as appropriate, meetings of other WTO bodies as an observer during the period in which the Working Party was carrying out its work.

(c) Estonia (WT/GC/M/41)

In March 1994 the GATT 1947 Council had established a Working Party to examine Estonia's request for accession to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Subsequently, in pursuance of the decision regarding requests for WTO accession adopted by the WTO General Council on 31 January 1995⁵, the GATT 1947 Accession Working Party had been transformed into a WTO Accession Working Party.

At its meeting on <u>21 May 1999</u>, the General Council approved the text of the Protocol of Accession (WT/ACC/EST/30) and the text of the draft Decision on the Accession of Estonia and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93), adopted the Decision on the Accession of Estonia (WT/ACC/EST/29). The General Council also adopted the report of the Working Party (WT/ACC/EST/28 and Add.1, Add.2 and Add.2/Corr.1).

⁴The CEFTA Members include Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovak Republic and Slovenia.

⁵See WT/GC/M/1, item 4(g).

The representatives of Estonia, European Communities, Canada, Hungary (on behalf of the CEFTA Members), United States, Australia, Turkey, Iceland, Norway, New Zealand, Mexico, Switzerland, Latvia, Jamaica, Japan, India, Pakistan, Cuba, Thailand (on behalf of the ASEAN Members) and Kyrgyz Republic, and the Chairman of the Working Party spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

(d) Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (WT/GC/M/48)

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Kåre Bryn (Norway) had agreed to chair the Working Party on the accession of the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia.

(e) Georgia (WT/GC/M/48)

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the General Council approved the text of the Protocol of Accession (WT/ACC/GEO/33) and the text of the draft Decision on the Accession of Georgia and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93), adopted the Decision on the Accession of Georgia (WT/ACC/GEO/32). The General Council also adopted the report of the Working Party (WT/ACC/GEO/31 and Add.1 and 2).

The representatives of Hungary (on behalf of the CEFTA Members and Latvia), Japan, United States, Turkey, European Communities, Bolivia (on behalf of GRULAC), Philippines (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Pakistan, India, Norway, Switzerland, Canada, Cyprus, and Georgia (as an observer), and the Chairman of the Working Party spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

(f) Lebanon (WT/GC/M/40)

At its meeting on <u>14 April 1999</u>, the General Council considered a communication from Lebanon (WT/ACC/LBN/1) concerning its interest in acceding to the WTO Agreement pursuant to Article XII.

The representatives of Lebanon (as an observer), Egypt, Kuwait, Tunisia, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Cuba, United States, European Communities, Pakistan, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Qatar, Japan, Morocco, Sri Lanka, Senegal, Nigeria, Czech Republic, Bulgaria and Turkey spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to establish a working party to examine the application by Lebanon, and appointed Mrs. Laurence Dubois-Destrizais (France) as Chairperson of the Working Party.

The Chairman invited Lebanon, on behalf of the General Council, to attend meetings of the General Council and, as appropriate, meetings of other WTO bodies as an observer during the period in which the Working Party was carrying out its work.

(g) Nepal (WT/GC/M/40/Add.3)

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Roger Farrell (New Zealand) had agreed to Chair the Working Party on the Accession of Nepal, replacing Mr. G.C. Fortune.

The General Council took note of this information.

(h) Accession to the WTO: Process and procedures (WT/GC/M/40/Add.3)

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the General Council considered a Technical Note by the Secretariat on the accession process (WT/ACC/7 and Corr.1) and a submission by the European Communities on the question of accession (WT/GC/W/153). It also heard an oral report – prepared by the former Director-General, Mr. Ruggiero, and read out by the Chairman – on the informal meeting on 23 March 1999 with Chairpersons of Accession Working Parties.

The representatives of the European Communities, Egypt, Hungary (on behalf of the CEFTA Members), Jamaica, India, Japan, Korea, Costa Rica, Bangladesh, Switzerland, Australia, Turkey, United States, Canada, Pakistan and Hong Kong, China, and the Russian Federation (as an observer) spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements, agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting⁶, agreed to circulate the oral report on the meeting of 23 March as a document⁷, and noted the fact that delegations in general supported the idea that the process of accession should be accelerated as much as possible, in particular in the course of 1999, prior to the launching of a new round of trade negotiations.

6. Trade facilitation – status report on work carried out by the Council for Trade in Goods under Paragraph 21 of the Singapore Ministerial Declaration (WT/GC/M/50)

At its meeting on <u>3 and 4 November 1999</u> the General Council heard a status report on the work carried out by the Council for Trade in Goods under Paragraph 21 of the Singapore Ministerial Declaration.

The General Council took note of the status report (G/L/333).

7. Review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding (WT/GC/M/48, 50)

At the General Council meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the Chairman read out a statement on behalf of the Chairman of the Dispute Settlement Body in which the latter described the evolution and present state of the review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding.

The representatives of Mexico, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand, United States, Canada, Hungary, European Communities, Japan, Egypt, India, Brazil, New Zealand, Indonesia, Venezuela, Ecuador, Australia, Uruguay, Singapore, Guatemala, Switzerland, Korea, Costa Rica, Bulgaria and Hong Kong, China, and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At its meeting on <u>3 and 4 November 1999</u>, the General Council heard a report by the Chairman of the Dispute Settlement Body on his own responsibility regarding the review of the Dispute Settlement Understanding, in which he proposed that the General Council: "(a) take note of all the discussions that had taken place during the review; and (b) note that in the context of the informal consultations among some interested delegations mentioned above, it was still possible that

⁶ See Item 25(b)(i) of the present report.

⁷Circulated in WT/GC/W/212.

proposals to amend the Dispute Settlement Understanding which might constitute a consensus could emerge in time for a decision at the Third Ministerial Conference".

The representatives of Egypt, Malaysia, Mexico, United States, Guatemala, Thailand, Turkey, Venezuela, Switzerland, European Communities, Hungary, Canada, Czech Republic, Colombia, Japan, Philippines, Brazil, Argentina, India, Peru, Singapore and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statement by the Chairman of the Dispute Settlement Body and of the proposals contained therein and agreed to submit them to Ministers for their consideration at the Third Ministerial Conference, and took note of the statements by delegations which would also be conveyed to Ministers.

- 8. Request for an authoritative interpretation pursuant to Article IX:2 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization⁸ (WT/GC/M/35)
- 9. Procedures for amendment and interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding: response to the European Communities' request for an authoritative interpretation of the Dispute Settlement Understanding pursuant to Article IX:2 of the WTO Agreement⁹ (WT/GC/M/35)

At its meeting on <u>15 and 16 February 1999</u>, the General Council considered communications from the European Communities (WT/GC/W/133 and 143) and from the United States (WT/GC/W/144).

The representatives of the European Communities, United States, Japan, India, Mexico, Hungary (on behalf of the CEFTA Members), Chile, Australia, Canada, Argentina, Brazil, Switzerland, Korea, Barbados, Panama, Belize, Honduras, Jamaica, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), New Zealand, Uruguay, Guatemala, Mauritius, Cyprus, Norway, Ecuador, Israel, Venezuela and Hong Kong, China, and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

10. Trade measures taken by the United States on 3 March 1999 against European Communities' imports (WT/GC/M/37)

At a special meeting of the General Council on <u>8 March 1999</u>, the General Council discussed this matter.

The representatives of the European Communities, United States, St. Lucia, Canada, Dominica, Japan, Hungary (on behalf of the CEFTA Members), Panama, Korea, Guatemala, India, Honduras, Indonesia, Barbados, Switzerland, Norway, Colombia, Philippines, Thailand, Singapore, Malaysia, Trinidad and Tobago, Australia, Ecuador, Egypt, New Zealand, Brazil, Senegal, Argentina, Côte d'Ivoire, Venezuela, Uruguay, Jamaica, Turkey, Cyprus and Hong Kong, China, and the Director-General spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

⁸At the Chairman's suggestion the matters under points 8 and 9 were taken up together and the discussion thereof is reflected accordingly in the minutes of the meeting.

⁹See footnote 8.

11. Five-year review of the exemption provided in Paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994 (WT/GC/M/45, 48, 50)

At its meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the General Council considered a communication from the United States (WT/GC/W/228) concerning the exemption provided in paragraph 3 of the GATT 1994.

The representatives of the United States, European Communities, Myanmar (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Japan, Australia, Norway, Panama, Jamaica, Brazil, Mexico, Philippines and Hong Kong, China, and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the General Council considered this matter.

The representatives of Malaysia, Japan, European Communities, Panama, Norway, Australia, New Zealand, Canada, Korea, Dominican Republic, India and Hong Kong, China, and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At its meeting on 3 and 4 November 1999, the General Council considered this matter.

The representatives of the United States, Panama, Japan, European Communities, Korea, Australia and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

12. United States restrictions on imports of lamb from Australia (WT/GC/M/45)

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the representative of Australia said that the United States had imposed restrictions on imports of lamb meat under the WTO Agreement on Safeguards on the basis of only a threat of serious injury, and to a degree that was more punitive than the recommendation of the US International Trade Commission.

The representative of New Zealand spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

13. Change in United States Trade Relations with Mongolia (WT/GC/M/45)

At the General Council meeting on 15 July 1999, the representative of the United States said that his country had notified the Chairman (WT/L/306) that it had rescinded its invocation of Article XIII of the WTO Agreement *vis-à-vis* Mongolia, and that it therefore consented to the application, as between it and Mongolia, of the WTO Agreement and the Multilateral Trade Agreements in Annexes 1 and 2 thereto.

The General Council took note of the statement.

14. Global electronic commerce¹⁰ (WT/GC/M/35, WT/GC/M/40/Add.3, WT/GC/M/45, 48)

At its meeting on <u>15 and 16 February 1999</u>, the General Council considered a submission by the United States regarding global electronic commerce (WT/GC/16).

The representative of the United States and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to undertake the interim review of progress in implementation of the Work Programme on Electronic Commerce at its meeting on 14 April 1999.¹¹

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the General Council conducted an interim review of progress in the implementation of the Work Programme on Electronic Commerce.

The representatives of Venezuela, Japan, United States, India, Canada, Egypt, European Communities, Australia, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Bangladesh, Norway and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and of the inputs from the relevant WTO bodies, and agreed to revert to the issue of the Work Programme on Electronic Commerce at its next meeting.

At its meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the General Council considered a submission from Australia (WT/GC/25).

The representatives of Australia, Japan, Jamaica, United States, Pakistan, India, Brazil, Malaysia, Argentina, Uruguay, European Communities, Mexico and Hong Kong, China, and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this item at its next meeting.

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the General Council considered reports of the relevant WTO bodies charged with implementing the Work Programme and discussed how to take this matter forward.

The representatives of Malaysia, Australia, Brazil, Hungary (on behalf of the CEFTA Members), Dominican Republic, India, Mexico, United States, Pakistan, Japan, European Communities, Venezuela, Jamaica, Egypt, Philippines, and Hong Kong, China and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to forward the reports of the WTO bodies examining this issue to Ministers at the Third Ministerial Conference and to revert to this matter after that meeting.

¹⁰In the relevant minutes the item is called "Work Programme on Electronic Commerce".

¹¹Consideration of most of the items on the General Council's agenda for 14 April 1999 was postponed to 15 June 1999.

15. Guidelines for the scheduling of meetings (WT/GC/M/35, WT/GC/M/40/Add. 3^{12} , WT/GC/M/ 45^{13} , 48^{14})

At its meeting on 15 and 16 February 1999, the General Council discussed this matter.

The representative of Mexico and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed that the Chairman would hold consultations on this matter.

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the representative of Mexico said that the Informal Group of Developing Countries had made a submission (Job No. 2122) that provided ideas on how to rationalize the formal and informal meetings in the WTO.

The General Council took note of the statement, agreed that this matter be subject to informal consultations, and agreed to revert to it at its next meeting.

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the Chairman said that it was his intention to conduct informal consultations on this matter at the earliest opportunity, based on the submission from Mexico on behalf of the Informal Group of Developing Countries.

The General Council took note of the statement and agreed to revert to this matter at a future meeting, in light of the consultations to be held.

At the General Council meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the Chairman said that he had as yet not been able to convene consultations on this matter and that it was his intention to do so at the earliest possible date.

The General Council took note of this information.

16. Transparency and derestriction¹⁵ (WT/GC/M/35, 45)

At its meeting on 15 and 16 February 1999, the General Council considered this matter.

The representatives of Mexico, Pakistan, United States, Canada, Australia, India, European Communities, New Zealand and Japan, and the Chairman and the Secretary of the General Council spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the Chairman said that once the new senior management was in place, he intended to ask one of the Deputy Directors-General to continue the consultations on this matter.

The General Council took note of the statement.

¹²At this meeting the item was called "Frequency and scheduling of WTO meetings".

¹³See footnote 12.

¹⁴See footnote 12.

¹⁵In the minutes this item is called "Review of procedures for the circulation and derestriction of WTO documents".

17. Developing countries and the WTO dispute settlement mechanism (WT/GC/M/35)

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 and 16 February 1999</u>, the representative of the European Communities introduced a proposal (WT/GC/W/148) to create a new unit in the WTO to assist developing countries in relation to the dispute settlement mechanism.

The representatives of the United States, Colombia, Venezuela, Bangladesh, Egypt, Uruguay, Bolivia, Japan, Mexico, Mauritius and Norway spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

18. Promotion of the institutional image of the WTO (WT/GC/M/35, WT/GC/M/40/Add.3, WT/GC/M/45, 48)

At its meeting on <u>15 and 16 February 1999</u>, the General Council considered a communication from Venezuela (WT/GC/W/142) on this matter.

The representatives of Venezuela, Tunisia, Mexico, Switzerland, Canada, United States, Japan, European Communities, Egypt, Cuba, Zimbabwe, Peru, Norway, Uruguay, Pakistan, Australia, Malaysia, India, Brazil and New Zealand, and the Director-General and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements, agreed that the Secretariat would prepare a document as proposed by Venezuela, and agreed to revert to this matter at a subsequent meeting.

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the General Council considered a Note prepared by the Secretariat (WT/GC/W/158 and Corr.1) on this matter.

The representatives of Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Venezuela, Egypt, Mexico, Canada, Switzerland, United States, European Communities, Japan, Australia, Jamaica, India, Korea, Hungary, Norway, Brazil, Pakistan and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and of the Note prepared by the Secretariat and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the Chairman said that he intended to invite Mr. Suzuki (Japan) to chair informal consultations on this matter and to report back to the General Council.

The representatives of Egypt, India, Malaysia, Venezuela, United States, Pakistan, Mexico, Switzerland, Mauritius, Canada, Turkey and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to hold informal consultations on this matter.

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the General Council heard a report on the informal consultations held on this matter as of that date.

The General Council took note of this information.

19. International Trade Centre UNCTAD/WTO

(a) Reports of the Joint Advisory Group (WT/GC/M/48)

At its meeting on 6 October 1999, the General Council considered the report of the Joint Advisory Group of the International Trade Centre UNCTAD/WTO on its thirty-second session (ITC/AG(XXXII)/173).

The Chairman said that the Committee on Trade and Development had examined the report at its meeting in July 1999 and had forwarded it to the General Council for adoption.

The General Council took note of the statement and adopted the report.

20. Arrangements for effective cooperation with other intergovernmental organizations

(a) Agreements between the WTO and the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank (WT/GC/M/35)

At its meeting on 15 and 16 February 1999, the General Council considered an annotated checklist of issues raised in the General Council's discussion of this matter in December 1998 (WT/GC/W/140).

The representatives of Canada, Japan, India, United States, Pakistan, Korea and the European Communities spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements, agreed to authorize the Chairman to convene special informal meetings from time to time, at the request of delegations or the Director-General, to discuss coherence issues, and agreed to request the Secretariat to prepare and circulate a background technical paper to assist discussions for the first meeting on "Autonomous Liberalization".

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the Chairman informed the General Council that an informal meeting of the General Council would be convened on 28 October 1999 to discuss coherence issues.

The General Council took note of this information.

21. Submission of end-of-year reports by WTO bodies (WT/GC/M/45)

At the General Council meeting on 15 July 1999, the Chairman said that in order for the annual reports of the subsidiary bodies reporting to the General Council to be forwarded to the 1999 Ministerial Conference as part of the report of the General Council, all of these reports would have to be in circulation by 22 October.

The General Council took note of the statement.

22. Establishment of the Global Trust Fund for WTO Technical Cooperation (WT/GC/M/45)

At the General Council meeting on 15 July 1999, the Chairman announced the establishment of a Global Trust Fund for WTO Technical Cooperation (Job No. 3788/Rev.1), which had been open to receive voluntary financial contributions since 1 July 1999.

The General Council took note of the statement.

23. Administrative matters

(a) Appointment of the next Director-General (WT/GC/M/33, 35, 36, 36/Add.1, 36/Add.2, 36/Add.3, 36/Add.4, WT/GC/M/40, 40/Add.1, 40/Add.2, 40/Add.4, WT/GC/M/46)

At its meeting on 12, 20, 26 and 27 January 1999, the General Council considered this matter.

On 12 January, the Chairman informed the General Council that, as indicated to delegations in a fax of 4 January, Mr. Lafer would be unable to continue in his role of facilitator. He proposed that Mr. Rossier proceed alone with the consultations in the week of 11 January and report to the General Council on 20 January, and that Mr. Rossier proceed in the manner set out in the communication of 23 December 1998 which Mr. Rossier and Mr. Lafer had jointly agreed.

The representatives of Brazil and Pakistan spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

On 20 January, Mr. Rossier made a report on the consultations (Job. No. 258).

The representatives of Mexico (on behalf of the Informal Group of Developing Countries), Jamaica, Egypt, European Communities, Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African Group) and Pakistan, and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

On 26 January, the Chairman suggested that a new round of consultations be held.

The representatives of Mexico (on behalf of the Informal Group of Developing Countries), Egypt, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), United States, Pakistan, European Communities, Japan, Colombia, Slovak Republic, India, Australia, Korea, Bangladesh, and Hong Kong, China, and Mr. Rossier spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter the following day.

On 27 January, the Chairman made a proposal concerning the continuation of the process of consultations.

The representatives of Egypt, Morocco, Mexico (on behalf of the Informal Group of Developing Countries), Uruguay, Turkey, Pakistan, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African Group) and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 and 16 February 1999</u>, the Chairman proposed that the General Council revert to this item at a resumed meeting.

The General Council took note of the statement and so agreed.

At the General Council meeting on <u>1 March 1999</u>, the Chairman made a statement (WT/GC/17) outlining how he intended to continue the process of selection of the next Director-General, and Mr. Rossier made a progress report (WT/GC/18).

The representatives of Egypt, United States, Mexico (on behalf of the Informal Group of Developing Countries), Pakistan, Jamaica, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Venezuela, Japan, Australia, Argentina, European Communities and Turkey spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and of the report by Mr. Rossier and adjourned.

At the resumed meeting of the General Council on <u>17 March 1999</u>, the Chairman made a statement (Job No. 1563) and a progress report on his consultations, stating that it had been determined that there was no possibility of promoting a consensus on the Canadian candidate and that the consultations would proceed in the light of this new situation.

The representatives of Canada, Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African Group), Mexico, Pakistan, India, Egypt, Uruguay, United States, Colombia and Turkey spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adjourned.

At the resumed meeting of the General Council on <u>24 March 1999</u>, the Chairman reported that on 22 March the Government of Morocco had announced the withdrawal of its candidate to the post of Director-General. He proposed that he and Mr. Rossier continue their intensive consultations with delegations and report back to the General Council by the end of March.

Mr. Rossier made a statement (Job No. 1705E) on behalf of the Chairman and himself.

The representatives of Morocco, European Communities, Tunisia, Egypt, Pakistan, Australia, Senegal, Argentina, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), India, Nigeria, Zimbabwe, Chile, Hungary, Uruguay, Cuba, Mexico, Japan, Costa Rica, Bolivia, Brazil, Czech Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, United States, Turkey, Jamaica, Colombia and Canada, and the Secretary spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and of the Chairman's proposal and adjourned.

At the resumed meeting of the General Council on <u>27 March 1999</u>, the Chairman made a progress report (Job No. 1814) on the consultations.

The representatives of Pakistan, Egypt, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Mexico, Cuba, Jamaica and Zimbabwe spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adjourned.

At the resumed meeting of the General Council on <u>31 March 1999</u>, the Chairman made a further progress report on the consultations (Job No. 1914).

The representative of Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members) spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adjourned.

At the resumed meeting of the General Council on <u>14 April 1999</u>, the Chairman recalled that at an informal meeting of the General Council on 9 April, he had indicated that the difficulties preventing consensus on either of the remaining candidates were still unresolved.

The representatives of Sri Lanka, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Panama, Argentina, Kenya, Mexico, Korea, Australia, Haiti, Trinidad and Tobago, European Communities, El Salvador, Zimbabwe, Hungary (on behalf of the CEFTA Members), Norway, Uruguay, United States, Jamaica, Costa Rica, Morocco, Colombia, India, Brazil, Dominican Republic, Cuba, Chile, Bolivia, Guatemala, Honduras, Turkey, Ecuador, Venezuela, Mauritius, Japan and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

At its meeting on 30 April and 1, 4 and 6 May 1999, the General Council considered this matter.

On 30 April, the Chairman proposed that the General Council agree to appoint Mr. Mike Moore as the Director-General of the WTO for a term of office of four years as from 1 May 1999.

The representatives of Mexico, Argentina, India, Uruguay, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Japan, Colombia, Zimbabwe, Germany (on behalf of the member States of European Communities), Canada, Kenya, Bolivia, Egypt, Haiti, Norway, Romania, Nigeria, Hungary, Argentina, Pakistan, Chile, Belize, Cuba, Venezuela, Costa Rica, Czech Republic, Switzerland, Israel, Poland, Guatemala, Panama, Nicaragua, Australia, United States, Japan, New Zealand, Bulgaria, Brazil, Peru, Turkey, Slovenia, Cameroon and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The Chairman proposed that the clock be stopped in order to allow more time to reach a consensus on his proposal.

The General Council took note of the statements and adjourned.

On 1 May, the Chairman said that the possibilities for reaching a consensus had not been exhausted and that he was optimistic that consensus could be achieved.

The representatives of Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Mexico, Zimbabwe, Colombia, Bangladesh, United States, Dominican Republic, Nigeria, Uruguay, Japan, Korea, Canada, India, Egypt, Kenya, Turkey, Pakistan, Bolivia, Morocco, Israel, Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Cuba, Bulgaria, Venezuela, Jamaica, Argentina and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adjourned.

On 4 May, the Chairman made a statement (Job No. 2635) in which he said that in proposing Mr. Moore on 30 April as the name to be considered by the General Council, he and the facilitator had eliminated Mr. Supachai from the race.

The representatives of Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Kenya, Uruguay, South Africa, Peru, Morocco, Ecuador, Mexico, India, Haiti, Zimbabwe, Zambia, Uganda, Colombia, Japan,

Brazil, Korea, Sri Lanka, Chile, United States, Pakistan, Egypt, Romania, Venezuela, Cuba, Bolivia, Australia, Malta, Ghana, Lesotho Nicaragua, Dominican Republic, Bulgaria, Costa Rica, Cameroon, Turkey and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adjourned.

On 6 May, the Chairman made a statement (Job No. 2719).

The representatives of Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), New Zealand, Mexico, Kenya, Colombia, Chile, Lesotho (also on behalf of Mozambique), Argentina, Japan, Korea, United States, India, Dominican Republic, Romania, Nigeria, Norway, Czech Republic, Bolivia, Cuba, Costa Rica, Ghana, Pakistan, Guatemala, Brazil, Australia, Canada, Uganda, Panama, Haiti, Turkey, Uruguay, Honduras, Nicaragua, Hungary, Venezuela, Sir Lanka, Egypt, Jamaica, Zimbabwe, Kyrgyz Republic and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adjourned.

At the resumed meeting of the General Council on <u>26 May 1999</u>, the Chairman made a statement (Job No. 3029).

The representatives of Japan, Belize, Costa Rica, Mexico, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), India, Uruguay, Zimbabwe, New Zealand, Lesotho (also on behalf of Botswana, Mozambique and Swaziland), Czech Republic, Haiti, Uganda, Romania, Nigeria, Kenya, Guatemala, Korea, Bolivia, Cuba, Pakistan, Chile, Egypt, Bulgaria, Colombia, United States, Australia, Norway, Cameroon, Israel and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adjourned.

At the resumed meeting of the General Council on <u>18 June 1999</u>, the Chairman made a statement (Job No. 3502).

The representatives of Norway, Australia, Turkey, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Haiti, Peru, Mexico, Zimbabwe, Uganda, Bangladesh, Bulgaria, Kenya, Ghana, India, Dominican Republic, Brazil, Bolivia, Pakistan, Venezuela, United States, New Zealand, Uruguay, Morocco, Japan, Korea, Czech Republic, Egypt, Nigeria, Mexico, Slovenia, Thailand, Ecuador, Chile, Mauritius, Romania, Sri Lanka, Djibouti, Argentina and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adjourned.

At the resumed meeting of the General Council on <u>22 July 1999</u>, the Chairman proposed for consideration and adoption a draft decision on the appointment of the next Director-General (WT/GC/W/258).

The representatives of Bangladesh, Brazil, Argentina, New Zealand, Morocco, United States, Bolivia, Panama, Japan, Bulgaria, Uruguay, Nigeria, Korea, Hungary, Poland, Czech Republic, Colombia, European Communities, Slovak Republic, Kenya, Chile, Venezuela, Pakistan, Guatemala, Mexico, India, Zimbabwe, Malta, Mongolia, Israel, Cuba, Honduras, Cameroon, Sri Lanka, Paraguay, Egypt, Jamaica, South Africa, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Nicaragua, Canada, Myanmar (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Australia, Hungary (on behalf of the CEFTA Members and Latvia), Thailand and Hong Kong, China, and the Chairman and the Secretary of the General Council spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adopted the draft decision in WT/GC/W/258.

(b) Offices of Deputy Directors-General (WT/GC/M/48)

At the General Council meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the Chairman made a statement (WT/GC/27) in which he described the consultations on this matter and proposed that:

- (a) the General Council take note of all views expressed by delegations concerning the senior management structure of the Secretariat and the number of posts of Deputy Directors-General;
- (b) the General Council agree to revert to this matter at the earliest possible opportunity;
- (c) in light of the above, the General Council take note that the present senior management structure of the Secretariat, consisting of one Director-General and four Deputy Directors-General, is maintained, pending the conclusions to be reached in the context of the review of the WTO Secretariat and Senior Management Structure.
- (d) the General Council agree that the review of the WTO Secretariat and Senior Management Structure be carried out in conjunction with the review of the current Rules and Procedures for appointment of Directors-General, to be concluded by the end of September 2000, as provided for in the decision of 22 July 1999 on the appointment of the next Director-General (WT/L/308), it being understood that the review of the WTO Secretariat and Senior Management Structure shall be carried out in the light of the earlier discussions held in the General Council on this matter in 1997 and 1998, based on the decision adopted by the General Council on 24 April 1997 (WT/L/207).

The representatives of Mexico (also on behalf of the Informal Group of Developing Countries), Morocco, Japan, Tunisia, Uganda, India, Venezuela, Pakistan, European Communities, United States, Panama, Australia, Jamaica, Kenya (also on behalf of the African Group), Malaysia, Canada, Poland, Hungary, Uruguay, Philippines, Czech Republic, Slovak Republic, Sri Lanka, Brazil, New Zealand, Turkey and Hong Kong, China, and the Director-General spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed that if no objection was received by the Secretariat by 11 October 1999, the Chairman's proposal would be considered as agreed.¹⁶

- (c) Management Board of the WTO Pension Plan
- (i) Election of the Chairman, members and alternates (WT/GC/M/35, 38)

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 and 16 February 1999</u>, the Chairman said that consultations were under way on the designation of representatives for election to the Management Board of the WTO Pension Plan.

The General Council took note of the statement.

At the General Council meeting on <u>26 March 1999</u>, the Chairman proposed candidates for election to the Management Board of the WTO Pension Plan.

The representatives of Turkey and Bulgaria spoke.

¹⁶No objections were received.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed on the election of the candidates proposed (WT/L/297).

24. Observer status

- (a) Governments
- (i) Yemen (WT/GC/M/40)

At its meeting on <u>14 April 1999</u>, the General Council considered a request from Yemen for observer status in the General Council and its subsidiary bodies (WT/L/296).

The representative of Egypt spoke.

The General Council took note of the statement and agreed to grant the request.

(b) International intergovernmental organizations (WT/GC/M/35, 40/Add.3, WT/GC/M/45, 48)

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 and 16 February 1999</u>, the representative of the United States raised the issue of pending requests for observer status by several international organizations.

The representatives of Pakistan, Egypt and Uruguay, and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed that the Chairman would hold consultations on this matter.

At its meeting on 15 June 1999 the General Council considered this matter.

The representatives of the United States, European Communities, Canada, Egypt, Japan, Mexico, Tanzania, Bangladesh, Australia, Cuba, Pakistan, Brazil, Venezuela, Malaysia (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Hungary, Senegal, Burundi and Korea spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed that the Chairman would undertake further consultations on this matter.

At its meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the General Council considered a communication from the United States (WT/GC/22/Rev.1).

The representatives of the United States, Mexico, Korea, Japan, Hungary, Brazil, Australia, Switzerland, European Communities, Canada, Norway, New Zealand, Israel, Chile, Egypt, Ghana, Mauritius, Pakistan, Myanmar (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements, agreed that if there were no objection by 31 July 1999, the supplementary guidelines contained in WT/GC/22/Rev.1, as amended, would be considered at that date as agreed, agreed that the Chairman would hold informal consultations on the pending requests for observer status in the General Council from international intergovernmental organizations, and agreed to revert to this matter at a future meeting in the light of those consultations.

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the Chairman informed the General Council that consultations on this matter had not as yet produced any agreement, and that he would conduct further consultations at the earliest possible opportunity and would keep the General Council informed of any developments.

The General Council took note of this information.

25. 1999 Ministerial Conference

(a) Organization of work for the Conference (WT/GC/M/50)

At the General Council meeting on <u>3 and 4 November 1999</u>¹⁷, the Chairman made a statement outlining the organization of work at the 1999 Ministerial Conference.¹⁸

The representatives of Bolivia, Panama, Mauritius, Cuba, Uganda, and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and of the organization of work.

- (b) Participation in the Conference
- (i) Participation of acceding countries as observers in the preparatory work for the 1999 Ministerial Conference (WT/GC/M/45)

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the Chairman proposed that the General Council agree that acceding governments be exceptionally invited to attend, as observers, the General Council's informal preparatory meetings for the 1999 Ministerial Conference.

The representatives of Egypt, Myanmar (on behalf of the ASEAN Members), Panama, Brazil, Japan and Mexico, and the Chairman and the Secretary of the General Council spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and agreed that observer governments be exceptionally invited to attend the General Council's informal preparatory meetings for the 1999 Ministerial Conference.

(ii) Attendance of governments as observers at the 1999 Ministerial Conference (WT/GC/M/48, 50)

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the General Council agreed to invite the Governments of Sao Tomé and Principe, Equatorial Guinea and San Marino to attend as observers the 1999 Ministerial Conference.

At its meeting on <u>3 and 4 November 1999</u>, the Chairman proposed that the General Council agree that unless any objection was received by the Secretariat from any Member by 8 November 1999, the following governments be invited to attend as observers the 1999 Ministerial Conference: the Bahamas, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Eritrea and Comoros.

The representative Egypt spoke.

The General Council took note of the statement and agreed to the Chairman's proposal. 19

¹⁷In these Minutes the item is called "Organization of work for the Third Ministerial Conference".

¹⁸This information was subsequently circulated in WT/MIN(99)/4.

¹⁹No objections were received to the requests by the Bahamas, Eritrea and Comoros. The period for consideration of the request from the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya was extended until 19 November 1999.

(iii) Attendance of international intergovernmental organizations as observers at the 1999 Ministerial Conference (WT/GC/M/40Add.3, WT/GC/M/45, WT/GC/M/48 and Corr.1, WT/GC/M/50)

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the General Council agreed to procedures for attendance, as observers, of international intergovernmental organizations at the 1999 Ministerial Conference.

At its meeting on <u>15 July 1999</u>, the General Council agreed that, unless any objection was received by the Secretariat from any Member by 29 July, the Economic Cooperation Organization and the Energy Charter Conference be granted observer status at the 1999 Ministerial Conference.

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the General Council agreed that, unless any objection was received by the Secretariat from any Member by 21 October, the League of Arab States, the World Tourism Organization, the Latin American Organization for Fisheries Development, and the Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture be granted observer status at the 1999 Ministerial Conference.²⁰

At its meeting on 3 and 4 November 1999, the General Council agreed that unless any objection was received by the Secretariat from any Member by 8 November 1999, the following international intergovernmental organizations be granted observer status at the 1999 Ministerial Conference: the International Civil Aviation Organization, the West African Economic and Monetary Union, the Conference of Ministers of Agriculture of West and Central Africa, the Organisation Internationale de la Francophonie, the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, and the United Nations University.²¹

The representatives of Egypt, Philippines, Bulgaria, United States, Israel and Tunisia, and the Chairman, the Secretary of the General Council and the Director of the External Relations Division spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

(iv) Attendance of non-governmental organizations at the 1999 Ministerial Conference (WT/GC/M/40/Add.3)

At its meeting on <u>15 June 1999</u>, the General Council agreed to procedures for the attendance of non-governmental organizations at the 1999 Ministerial Conference.

26. Chairmanship of the Dispute Settlement Body (WT/GC/M/48)

At its meeting on <u>6 October 1999</u>, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Kåre Bryn (Norway) had agreed to replace Mr. Akao, who had left Geneva, for the remainder of the latter's term as Chairman of the Dispute Settlement Body.

²⁰Subsequent to the meeting, the Secretariat received two objections to the granting of observer status to the League of Arab States at the 1999 Ministerial Conference.

²¹No objections were received.

Appointment of officers to WTO bodies (WT/GC/M/35, 50) 27.

At the General Council meeting on 15 and 16 February 1999, the Chairman said that in accordance with the guidelines for appointment of officers to WTO bodies approved by the General Council in January 1995 (WT/L/31), he had held informal consultations on this matter, on the basis of which he considered that there was a consensus on the following slate of names:

General Council Mr. Ali Said Mchumo (Tanzania)

Dispute Settlement Body Mr. Nobutoshi Akao (Japan)

Mr. Jean-Marie Noirfalisse (Belgium) Trade Policy Review Body

Council for Trade in Goods Mr. Roger Farrell (New Zealand)

Council for Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Mr. Carlos Pérez del Castillo (Uruguay)

Property Rights (TRIPs)

Council for Trade in Services Mr. Stuart Harbinson (Hong Kong, China)

Committee on Trade and Environment Mr. István Major (Hungary)

Committee on Trade and Development Mr. Absa Claude Diallo (Senegal)

Committee on Budget, Finance and Mrs. Laurence Dubois-Destrizais (France)

Administration

Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions Mr. Tomasz Jodko (Poland)

Committee on Regional Trade Agreements Mr. Krirk-Krai Jirapaet (Thailand)

Working Group on the Relationship between

Trade and Investment

Working Group on the Interaction between Trade Mr. Frédéric Jenny (France)

and Competition Policy

Working Group on Transparency in Government Mr. Ronald Saborío Soto (Costa Rica)

Procurement

The Chairman said that there was also a consensus on the re-appointment of Mr. Nestor Osorio Londoño as the Chairman of the Committee on Agriculture.

Mr. Man Soon Chang (Korea)

The General Council took note of the statement and of the consensus on the above slate of names.

At the General Council meeting on 3 and 4 November 1999, the Chairman said that due to the intensity of preparations for the Third Ministerial Conference, it had not been possible to carry out consultations on this matter, and that these would be held as soon as possible after that Conference.

The General Council took note of this information.

28. Election of Chairperson (WT/GC/M/35)

At the General Council meeting on <u>15 and 16 February 1999</u>, the Chairman, as the outgoing presiding officer of the General Council, made a statement (WT/GC(99)/ST/1).

The General Council then unanimously elected Mr. A. Mchumo (Tanzania) to the Chair, who also made a statement (WT/GC(99)/ST/2).

29. Parting statement by the Director-General (WT/GC/M/40)

At the General Council meeting on $\underline{14}$ April $\underline{1999}$, the Director-General made a parting statement (WT/GC/(99)/ST/3).

The representatives of Canada, Switzerland, Egypt, Jamaica, Hungary, Colombia, Japan, United States, Turkey, European Communities, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Haiti, Chile, Korea, India, Australia, New Zealand, Norway, Malaysia, Venezuela, Bolivia, Barbados, Paraguay, Senegal, Malta and Hong Kong, China and the Russian Federation (as an observer) spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements.

30. Review of WTO activities (WT/GC/M/50)

At its meeting on <u>3 and 4 November 1999</u>, the General Council conducted a review of WTO activities in pursuance of the Decision concerning procedures for the overview of WTO activities and for reporting under the WTO (WT/L/105). In so doing, the General Council considered annual reports for 1999 from WTO bodies.

Chairpersons of various WTO bodies presented the annual reports submitted by those bodies.

The General Council adopted the report of the Committee on Trade and Development (WT/COMTD/22), took note of the reports from WTO bodies²² in documents WT/DSB/16 and Add.1 and Corr.1, WT/TPR/69, G/L/337, S/C/10, IP/C/19, WT/BOP/R/47, WT/BFA/43, WT/REG/8, WT/CTE/4, WT/WGTI/3, WT/WGTCP/3, WT/WGTGP/3 and GPA/30, and took note of the statements.

The representatives of Australia, Malaysia and India, and the Chairman spoke.

The General Council took note of the statements and adopted its draft report (WT/GC/W/345 and Add.1) on the understanding that the Secretariat would make the necessary adjustments to it so as to include matters discussed at this meeting.

 $^{^{22}}$ A communication from the Chairman of the Committee on Trade in Civil Aircraft to the Chairman of the General Council reporting on the Committee's activities was circulated in document Job No. 6358.